66

67



### **NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**



### **Meeting Location**:

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners 6010 S Rainbow Blvd, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, NV 89118

### Zoom Video and Teleconferencing was available for this meeting

Meeting Call-In Number: (669) 900 6833 Meeting ID#: 936 9903 1124 Zoom Video (via app) Password: 240659

### Meeting Date & Time

Tuesday, July 14, 2020 6:00 p.m.

#### **MINUTES**

### **BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS NOTICE OF AGENDA & TELECONFERENCE MEETING**

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE:**

The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may hold board meetings via video conference or telephone conference call. \*\*Due to the Governor's Executive Order in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Board office will not be open to the general public for this meeting. The general public is encouraged to participate via teleconference\*\*

Public Comment time is available after roll call (beginning of meeting) and prior to adjournment (end of meeting). Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual. You may provide the Board with written comment to be added to the record.

Persons wishing to comment may appear at the scheduled meeting/hearing or may address their comments, data, views, arguments in written form to: Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners, 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd, A-1, Las Vegas, Nevada 89118; ATTN: Angelica Bejar; FAX number (702) 486-7046; e-mail address <a href="mailto:nsbde@nsbde.nv.gov">nsbde@nsbde.nv.gov</a>. Written submissions should be received by the Board on or before <a href="mailto:Monday\_July 13">Monday\_July 13</a>, 2020 by 5:00 p.m. in order to make copies available to members and the public.

The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

Persons/facilities who want to be on the mailing list must submit a written request every six (6) months to the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners at the address listed in the previous paragraph. With regard to any board meeting or telephone conference, it is possible that an amended agenda will be published adding new items to the original agenda. Amended Nevada notices will be posted in compliance with the Open Meeting Law.

We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Board, at (702) 486-7044, no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. Requests for special arrangements made after this time frame cannot be guaranteed.

Pursuant to NRS 241.020(2) you may contact the Board office at (702) 486-7044 to request supporting materials for the public body, or you may download the supporting materials for the public body from the Board's website at <a href="http://dental.nv.gov">http://dental.nv.gov</a>. In addition, the supporting materials for the public body are available at the Board's office located at 6010 S Rainbow Blvd, Ste. A-1, Las Vegas, Nevada.

<u>Note</u>: Asterisks (\*) "<u>For Possible Action</u>" denotes items on which the Board may take action. <u>Note</u>: Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or tabled.

### Call to Order

Roll call/ Quorum

Board Member Moore called the meeting to order at approximately 6:12 p.m. Executive Director, Mr. Frank DiMaggio, conducted the following roll call:

| Dr. D. Kevin Moore (President)      | PRESENT  | W. Todd Thompson     | <b>PRESENT</b> |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------|
| Dr. David Lee (Secretary-Treasurer) | PRESENT  | Dr. Ronald Lemon     | <b>PRESENT</b> |
| Dr. Elizabeth Park                  | PRESENT  | Dr. Ronald West      | <b>PRESENT</b> |
| Ms. Caryn Solie                     | PRESENT  | Ms. Gabrielle Cioffi | PRESENT        |
| Mrs Jana Malntura                   | PRESENIT |                      |                |

Others present: Phil Su, Esquire, General Counsel; Frank DiMaggio, Executive Director; Rigoberto Morales, IT Coordinator; Angelica Bejar, Public Information-Travel Administrator.

 **Public Comment:** The public comment period is limited to matters <u>specifically</u> noticed on the agenda. No action may be taken upon the matter raised during public comment unless the matter itself has been specifically included on the agenda as an action item. Comments by the public may be limited to three minutes as a reasonable time, place and manner restriction, but may not be limited based upon viewpoint. The Chairperson may allow additional time at his/her discretion.

Lester Ho, an OBGYN in Reno spoke in support of Dr. Georgene Chase and asked that the Board reinstate her license so that she may practice dentistry.

Charles Buchannan, a student at the University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV) School of Dental Medicine (SDM), stated that he represented students in different states and spoke of the unique challenges the graduating class of 2020 faced due to COVID-19. He spoke in favor of the exam alternatives presented to the Board for consideration, and was also in favor of the Board offering a temporary license option to 2020 graduates until such time that they can take a clinical exam.

Emily Goodrich, RDH commented that dental hygienists have been restricted from using ultrasonic scalers. She noted that hand scaling cannot fully address patients periodontal concerns. She noted that the aerosol created from the dentists hand piece was no different than the aerosol created from the hygienists' cavitron. She asked that the Board please reconsider this restriction so that they can return to using ultrasonic scalers with APV devices.

Vanessa Karen with the Nevada Dental Hygienists' Association (NDHA) spoke in regards to concerns they have received from the hygiene community regarding direction on reporting positive COVID-19 cases that occur within the dental office. She referenced the language listed in the DHHS memo adopted by the Board on April 30, 2020, and what they should do when faced with a positive case and the reporting requirements listed in said memo. She stated positive cases within a dental office should be required to be reported to the Board and contact traced in order to help mitigate the spread of the corona virus. Furthermore, the NDHA requests that the Board make the positive COVID reporting form, as referenced in the DHHS memo, available to the dental community.

Dr. Michael Bell, commented in regards to the CDC and how several entities of conspiring associates to the CDC promulgated a national clinical epidemiological experiment using social distancing and face mask wearing in a healthy population without establishing any clinical trial outcomes and without empaneling an institution review board defining informed consent. He noted further that in this experiment it was found that this was a novel virus that was not based on established scientific principles. He noted that as a practicing dentist of over 31 years, when the HIV scenario came about, dental professionals responded by implementing standards that he feels are more than sufficient in handling the corona virus situation; and therefore felt that there should not be any new corona virus regulations placed on dentists.

Travis Smith, a student at UNR, called in support of Dr. Chase and hoped that the Board would restore Dr. Chase's license as she is a great asset to the community. He spoke highly of Dr. Chase and the countless lives she has helped.

Dr. Bill Pappas commented that he was present to answer any questions related to the ADEX exam items listed on the agenda for consideration.

llena Y. spoke in support of the reinstatement of Dr. Chase's license.

Julie Calvary stated that she was a disabled senior citizen, friend, and patient of Dr. Georgene Chase. She spoke in favor of the Board reinstating Dr. Chase's license.

Nichelle Venable, RDH spoke in regards to agenda item 11. She noted the importance of performing periodontal and root scaling treatments. She advocated for the Board to permit dental hygienists to allow for the use of cavitrons so long as hygienists are conducting the appropriate pre-screenings.

Russell Kost stated he was present on behalf of BDO.

A participant by the name of Alicia stated that she was a third year dental student and spoke in support of the proposed alternative exam options through WREB and ADEX.

Lori McDonald with TMCC spoke on behalf of the graduating class and advocated for the approval of agenda items (6) and (7). She spoke of different alternatives she would ask the board to consider in addition to accepting only the WREB and ADEX exams.

Sarah Herrman spoke in favor of the Board adopting the WREB and ADEX manikin exams.

Danyelle Chun, a licensed dental hygienist and current dental student at UNLV SDM, spoke in favor of the Board accepting the WREB and ADEX manikin exams and advocated for permanent adoption of said exam options.

Dr. Steve Recchia, a patient of Dr. Georgene Chase, advocated for the reinstatement of Dr. Chase's license.

Lori McDonald commented that she believes that a manikin-based exam as set forth in agenda item (7) was not a good option for dental hygienists.

Katrina Allen, a licensed dental hygienist and current dental student at UNLV SDM, firmly supported the Board accepting the manikin based WREB and ADEX exams.

### \*3. President's Report: (For Possible Action)

\*a. Request to remove agenda item(s) (For Possible Action)

Board Member Moore requested that agenda items (5), (6), (7), and (8) be sent to the CE committee for vetting purposes. Further, he asked that agenda item (17) be removed as the space was no longer available.

\*b. Approve Agenda (For Possible Action)

MOTION: Board Member Thompson moved that the Board approve the agenda with the removed items noted by Board Member Moore. Motion seconded by Board Member West. All were in favor, motion passed.

### \*4. Old Business:

- (a) <u>Request for reinstatement of license that is currently suspended due to the failure to comply with Paragraph 23(C) and 23(G) of the Disciplinary Stipulation Agreement (For Possible Action)</u>
  - (1) Georgene Chase, DDS

General Counsel, Mr. Phil Su, spoke regarding the matter involving Dr. Chase, and gave a brief synopsis of the provisions in Dr. Chase's stipulation agreement and the reasons that lead to the suspension of her license. Mr. Su stated that he had had extensive discussions with Counsel for Dr. Chase, Mr. Charles Zeh, Esquire. He recommended that the Board reinstate Dr. Chase's license with the provision that she complete a CE course for records management and ethics. Dr. Chase read a statement into the record that addressed the history behind her inspiration and desire to become a dentist. Further, she addressed her enthusiasm and dedication to being a dentist and desire to return to practice. Board Member Moore called for a motion to approve the reinstatement of Dr. Chase's license to include a provision that she complete a CE course in records keeping and ethics. Board Member Moore inquired of Mr. Su of the number of hours being required of Dr. Chase to complete. Mr. Su stated that the course was offered through her malpractice carrier and was a day long course of about (10) CE hours. Mr. Su inquired of Dr. Chase on how long she believed she would need to complete the CE program. Dr. Chase responded that the next course was offered in September.

MOTION: Board Member Thompson moved to reinstate Dr. Chase's license immediately with the stipulation the she has until the end of the current license renewal period (June 30, 2021) to complete the CE course. Motion seconded by Board Member Lemon. All were in favor of the motion, motion passed.

\*5. <u>Temporary approval and acceptance of the alternatives to the current Western Regional Examining Board's (WREB) exam for dental licensure, including WREB Dental Licensing Examination COVID-19
 Options for 2020, if completed during the period of May 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 – NRS 631.240 (For Possible Action)
</u>

Agenda item removed.

\*6. <u>Temporary approval and acceptance of the Western Regional Examining Board's (WREB) Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) exam for dental hygiene licensure if completed during the period of May 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 – NRS 631.300 (For Possible Action)</u>

Agenda item removed.

\*7. Temporary approval and acceptance of the use of manikins by American Board of Dental Examiners' (ADEX) for the Dental Periodontal Scaling Exercise portion of the ADEX dental exam for dental licensure and for the ADEX dental hygiene clinical examination for dental hygiene licensure if completed during the period of May 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 – NRS 631.240 and NRS 631.300 (For Possible Action)

Agenda item removed.

\*8. <u>Temporary approval and acceptance of the restorative procedures in the American Board of Dental Examiners' (ADEX) exam for dental licensure to be completed on either a live patient or the CompeDont tooth during the period of May 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 – NRS 631.240 (For Possible Action)</u>

Agenda item removed.

\*9. <u>Discussion and consideration, with possible approval, of a temporary license for dentists from the graduation class of 2020, and dental hygienists from the graduation class of 2020 to be granted during the period of July 14, 2020 through December 31, 2020 – Governor's Declaration of Emergency Directive 011 (For Possible Action)</u>

Executive Director, Frank DiMaggio, stated that Governor Sisolak had issued a directive invoking a waiver of licensing provisions, which included Dentistry. He briefly summarized what the directive entailed as it pertained to dentistry and the length of time the directive was to be kept in place. Further, that pursuant to that directive, the Board had the authority to issue temporary licenses. Mr. DiMagaio directed the Board to review the draft memorandum provided to them in their meeting materials. He briefly discussed the details of the memorandum regarding the proposed provisions of the temporary qualifications for a temporary dental and/or dental hygiene license. Board Member Thompson inquired if the temporary license would be only available to 2020 graduates from Nevada or if it would be open to all 2020 graduates in other states as well. Board Member Moore stated that this temporary license option would be open to all 2020 graduates across the states and would primarily assist graduates that have not completed clinical exam. Board Member Park inquired if there was a stipulation of good standing for the licensed dentist who will be overseeing the temporary licensees during the five years. Board Member Moore asked for clarification of Board Member Park's question. Board Member Park indicated that she wanted to ensure that the hiring dentist that would be overseeing the temporary licensed employee is in good standing with the board. Board Member Moore stated that such verification would be included in the administrative process should the board decide to approve temporary licensing. Board Member Lee stated that the memorandum only states that the hiring dentist must have held a license for a minimum of five years; further, that it would be assumed that the licensed dentist would not be licensed if they were not in good standing with the Board. Board Member Moore stated that though they do not have a form created yet, in the motion, they may motion to add to the application process to conduct a license verification of the hiring dentist.

MOTION: Board Member Lee motioned to approve a temporary license for dentist and hygienists from the graduating class of 2020 per the memorandum dated July 14, 2020. There was discussion whether or not the motion should include verifying that the hiring dentist holds a license in good standing. Board Member Lee noted that the memorandum stated that

the hiring dentist must be licensed for a minimum of five years and therefore did not find it necessary to include such a caveat in his motion. It was noted that there are licensees that hold a current license that may have an active stipulation agreement. Board Member West stated that the details of the temporary license provisions can be discussed prior to finalizing it. Board Member Park stated she was comfortable with that and seconded the motion. Discussion: Board Member Solie inquired if the temporary license would have to go through a regulatory process, and would there be a public workshop scheduled. Board Member Moore stated that pursuant to the Governor's directive 011, it did not require a regulatory change, as the board would be adopting it under the provisions of the executive directive from the Governor. All were in favor; motion passed.

# \*10. Consideration and approval/rejection of the recommendation from the Budget and Finance Committee regarding contracts for bookkeeping and accounting services (For Possible Action)

- (a) Bookkeeping Services (For Possible Action)
  - (1) BDO (formerly Piercy Bowler Taylor & Kern)

Board Member Lee stated that the Board currently did not have an accounting service or an accounting company to do the bookkeeping and taxes. He noted that they have been gathering different proposals from various firms over the past few months. He noted that the Budget and Finance Committee met previously and they reviewed proposals from five different CPA firms for bookkeeping services and four different firms for the forensic accounting services. Based on that review, the Budget and Finance Committee recommended the firm BDO be hired for the Bookkeeping services and forensic accounting services. Board Member Moore called for a motion.

MOTION: Board Member West motioned to approve BDO for bookkeeping services. Motion seconded by Board Member McIntyre. Discussion: Board Member Lee noted that they were in possession of the contract and inquired if Board Member West would include the approval of the contract to his motion. Board Member West amended his motion to include the approval of the contract and to accept BDO for bookkeeping services. Board Member McIntyre seconded the amended motion. Discussion: Board Member Lemon stated that upon reviewing the documents, he found the fees to be comparably high. Board Member Lee stated that BDO offered the lowest fees in comparison to the other firms, and noted that the proposed rate for services was significantly cheaper than what they previously paid for the same services. It was noted that they were offering the Board a monthly flat rate. Mr. DiMaggio went over other fee details that were listed in the contract. With no further discussion, all were in favor, motion passed.

### (b) Forensic Accounting Services (For Possible Action)

(1) BDO (formerly Piercy Bowler Taylor & Kern)

Board Member Moore called for a motion with discussion to follow.

MOTION: Board Member Lee motioned to approve BDO for forensic accounting services and their contract. Motion seconded by Board Member West. Discussion: Board Member Moore stated that Russ Kost and Mike Rosten were available to address Board Member Lemon's concerns with the hourly fees noted. Mr. Rosten stated that forensic accounting services were very unique in terms of application and result from the process. They can take many forms but it would depend on what the particular issues are. He noted that it would help for the Board to go on the record to note what the issues are for the forensic accounting or at least officially designate a committee to do that. In terms of the overall perspective of the engagement team on the forensic side it would consist of two or three levels of personnel and experience at different billing rates, which would be similar to law firms. He stated that as part of their proposal they included a look-back provision that would cap the effective hourly rate at \$220 an hour. Board Member Lemon stated that he was asking, not challenging, for justification for the fee levels listed in the proposal. He indicated that his concern for the board was to avoid getting into situations where there may be unlimited expenses and the board maintaining a budget. There was discussion

that the Executive Director would be tasked with monitoring the costs and billing hours to ensure that the fees paid are within reason. Executive Director, Mr. DiMaggio, noted that the contract proposed is a contract through the state which caps the contract at \$9,999.99 for a period of 12 months. Additional discussion ensued regarding the scope and depth of services to be offered. With no further discussion, all were in favor, motion passed.

\*11. Address and take possible action related to the COVID-19 outbreak and provide directives and/or recommendations of action to ensure safety of licensees, dental practices and the general public, including but not limited to, review and consideration, with possible approval of current CDC guidelines pursuant to NAC 631.178 (For Possible Action)

Mr. Su stated that currently the DHHS memorandum that they adopted on April 30, 2020, is what controls practice during the COVID pandemic, and that this agenda item was to adopt CDC guidelines that have been put in place since that time. He noted that the CDC had not added any guidelines for COVID on April 30th when the board adopted the DHHS memorandum. Mr. Su stated that the most recent updates adopted by CDC were the June 17, 2020 dental settings guidelines. He further noted that CDC held a telephone meeting where they identified these guidelines for additional change to the 2003 guidelines for infection control in dental healthcare settings. Mr. Su directed the board to NAC 631,178(2) regarding the periodic review of the CDC guidelines to see if any changes made by the CDC would be applicable for the board to recognize and adopt. Board Member Park stated that upon reading the document she wanted to inquire of Mr. Su if the dental setting guidelines adopted by the CDC covered the topic of ultrasonic use for cavitrons for hygienists under the care of their practitioner. Mr. Su stated that new guidelines referenced only aerosol producing procedures. He noted that the intent was to defer to the dental professionals clinical judgement and whether or not the circumstances warranted the use of the aerosol generating procedures. Board Member Lee noted that the guidelines do reference ultrasonic use. Board Member Park stated that she would like to make a motion to restore deferring to clinicians the decree on whether or not to allow cavitron or ultrasonic use in their practice. Board Member Lee and Mr. Su noted that the guidelines stated that the use of scalers was not recommended. Mr. Su noted further that the guidelines stated to avoid using aerosol generating procedures, whenever possible, as well as to avoid using air water syringe and dental hand pieces. Further discussion ensued regarding same. Board Member Moore read a section of the same nature related to the use of aerosol generating procedures, which was followed by more discussion. Board Member Moore stated that the guidelines are clear on the stance regarding the use of aerosol generating procedures, however, that if the use of them is necessary then those practitioners must abide by the additional measures of precautions to be taken.

MOTION: Board Member Park moved to adopt the June 17, 2020 guidelines. Motion seconded by Board Member Solie. All were in favor of the motion, motion passed.

Board Member Moore asked of Mr. Su how the adoption of the new CDC guidelines would affect the DHHS memorandum previously adopted by the board. Mr. Su stated that it would be most effective to have a motion to have the adopted guidelines supercede the DHHS memorandum.

MOTION: Board Member Park moved that the June 17, 2020 CDC guidelines supersede the DHHS memorandum previously adopted by the board. Motion seconded by Board Member Lee. Discussion: Board Member Solie stated that there was information received from Dr. Antonina Capurro regarding exposure of COVID in a dental practice, and inquired if that would be adopted with the new CDC guidelines. Mr. Su stated that information provided by Dr. Cappuro was for informational purposes only and it was not part of the CDC guidelines and therefore not part of the vote. Board Member Park noted that the CDC guidelines comprehensively addressed the same information provided by Dr. Capurro. With no further discussion, all were in favor of the motion. Motion passed.

## \*12. <u>Consideration and approval/rejection to hire part-time Anesthesia Evaluator Employee</u>

(For Possible Action)

\*a. Charles R Cordova, Jr., DDS - Moderate Sedation

Board Member Moore noted that Dr. Cordova's application met the criteria and called for a motion to approve.

MOTION: Board Member Lee motioned to approve to hire Dr. Charles R. Cordova, Jr., as a part-time Anesthesia Evaluator employee. Motion seconded by Board Member Thompson. All were in favor, motion passed.

### \*13. Consideration and approval/rejection to hire part-time Infection Control Employee (For Possible Action)

\*a. Ledena Brooke, RDH

MOTION: Board Member Lee motioned to approve to hire Ledena Brooke, RDH as a part-time Infection Control employee. Motion seconded by Board Member McIntyre. All were in favor, motion passed.

### \*14. 90-Day Extension of Temporary Anesthesia Permit (For Possible Action)

(1) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

(a) Shawn B. Davis, DMD

Board Member Moore indicated that he and Board Member Lee reviewed the application, all was in order, and recommended approval to grant a 90-day extension of Dr. Davis' temporary General Anesthesia Permit.

MOTION: Board Member West moved that the Board approve to grant a 90-day extension of Dr. Davis' temporary General Anesthesia permit. Motion seconded by Board Member Thompson. All were in favor, motion passed.

- (2) Moderate Sedation (patients 13 years of age & older) (For Possible Action)
  - (a) Jacob Hamblin, DDS
  - (b) Kostika Polena, DMD
  - (c) Jong M. Um, DDS

Board Member Moore indicated that he and Board Member Lee reviewed the applications; all was in order and they recommended the approval to grant a 90-day extension of Dr. Hamblin's, Dr. Polena's, and Dr. Um's temporary Moderate Sedation (13 years of age & older) permits.

MOTION: Board Member Thompson moved to approve to grant a 90-day extension of Dr. Hamblin's, Dr. Polena's, and Dr. Um's, temporary Moderate Sedation (patients 13 years of age & older) permits. Motion seconded by Board Member Lemon. All were in favor, motion passed.

### \*15. Approval of Temporary Anesthesia Permit (For Possible Action)

(1) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

(a) Blair M. Thomas, DMD

Board Member Moore indicated that he and Board Member Lee reviewed the application, all was in order, and recommended approval of granting Dr. Thomas a temporary General Anesthesia permit.

MOTION: Board Member Thompson moved that the board grant Dr. Thomas a temporary General Anesthesia permit. Motion seconded by Board Member West. All were in favor, motion passed.

(2) Moderate Sedation (pediatric specialty) (For Possible Action)
(a) Terry C. Meads, Jr., DMD

Board Member Moore indicated that he and Board Member Lee reviewed the application, all was in order, and recommended approval of granting Dr. Meads a temporary Moderate Sedation (pediatric specialty) permit.

MOTION: Board Member West moved that the board grant Dr. Meads for a temporary Moderate Sedation (pediatric specialty) permit. Motion seconded by Board Member Lemon. All were in favor, motion passed.

# \*16. Approval of Board Member Dental Hygiene Review Panel Member April 2020 through December 31, 2020 – NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

(1) Caryn Solie, RDH - Dental Hygiene

Board Member Moore thanked Ms. Solie for joining the Board and inquired if she was still willing to serve on the review panel. Board Member Solie responded affirmatively.

MOTION: Board Member Park moved to approve Board Member Solie to the Dental Hygiene Review Panel. Motion seconded by Board Member Lee. All were in favor, with Board Member Solie abstaining, motion passed.

## \*17. <u>Discussion and approval of lease for Office located at 2575 Montessori Street; Las Vegas, NV 89117</u> (For Possible Action)

Agenda item removed.

18. Public Comment: This public comment period is for any matter that is within the jurisdiction of the public body. No action may be taken upon the matter raised during public comment unless the matter itself has been specifically included on the agenda as an action item. The Chairperson of the Board will impose a time limit of three (3) minutes. The Chairperson may allow additional time at his/her discretion.

Charles Buchannan stated that pursuant to NRS 631.240 (1) and (2) did not state anywhere that the exam must be performed on a live patient. He urged that the Continuing Education Committee look into clarifying the statute as it could be argued that the Board would have to accept the manikin exams. He noted that in the public documents, there are letters from April from ADEX regarding the live patient exams and requested that this matter not be delayed further. Board Member Moore kindly stated to Mr. Buchannan that the pandemic affected everyone in those months, the Board included, as they were short staffed and had vacant positions to fill. Further, that since May the Board did not have enough board members for a quorum. He noted that Ms. Solie was appointed to the Board just a few days prior to this board meeting. He asked for the continued patience as the Board worked diligently to address all pending concerns and matters.

Dr. Michael Bell expressed his frustrations related to the pandemic, or "plandemic" as he preferred to call it, and inquired what research had the board conducted to prove that there was a true virulent virus that has been novel and isolate. He went on further discussing a surrogate test that the CDC is using and relying on as they study COVID-19. He stated that without an isolated virus in a pure form, how do they know what is really happening. He spoke of the mask wearing and social distancing requirements were preplanned per Event 201. He asked that the Board conduct investigations on the CDC's actions and on what bases does the CDC have the rules they are implementing. Additional discussion ensued related to the adoption of the CDC guidelines by the Board.

A representative of the NDHA requested clarification on positive COVID reporting and if they were still required to use the COVID reporting form given by the DHHS. Board Member Moore referred her to review the CDC guidelines to what reporting requirements are. She inquired if based on the CDC guidelines would it be left to the clinician to determine if they can use ultrasonic pieces. Board Member

Moore stated that the guidelines clearly indicated that use of aerosol generating procedures was not recommended, but if they were deemed necessary there were specific measure they had to take.

Dr. William Pappas inquired if temporary licensure would be limited to graduates of Nevada schools or if it included 2020 graduates from other states, as well. Board Member Moore clarified that it was open to 2020 graduates from any state that was not able to complete the current exam requirements per the Nevada statutes. There was light discussion regarding the dental hygienists and dentists this would apply to. Board Member Park acknowledged Dr. Pappas' help regarding the ADEX exam information, and invited him to participate in the CE committee meeting.

### 19. Announcements:

Board Member Moore asked that all committee members look at all the committees they were on, and particularly asked if any was uncomfortable the chair for a particular committee notify him, and that they were welcome to request to become a regular member of the committee or to be removed from the committee altogether. He added that if they would like to be on another committee to notify him or Mr. DiMaggio as soon as possible.

### \*20. Adjournment (For Possible Action)

Board Member Moore requested a motion to adjourn the meeting.

MOTION: Board Member Lee motioned for adjournment. Board Member Thompson seconded the motion. All were in favor, motion passed.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:55 p.m. Respectfully submitted by:

Frank DiMaggio, Executive Director